

2007-2008 House Scorecard

www.SCClubforGrowth.com

PO Box 11909 Columbia, SC 29211 (803) 454-1134

Paid for by SC Club for Growth. Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.

THE GLUB FOR	TH ?	2007-2008	House	Scorecard		2007 Budget		t		007 DC structu		2007 School Choice			2007 Treasurer		20	08 Res	tructu	ring		2008 Retireme nt					
SOUTH CARO	TINA				Vote #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Name	Score	Grade	District	Location	Party	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	LARD LIST	Gas Tax	Ott Am.	Edge Am.	EOS	Beatty	Willia ms	Adjour n	Ryber g	Agr	Joint Gov/Lt Gov	Edu	Final	Amend ments	Earmark Discl.	Comp. Grants	Budget Vetoes	Adjourn	Leg. Pay Raise
						Out of 6	Out of 12	Out of 10	Out of 50													Out of 5			Out of 10		
Bedingfield	93.4	A+	28	Greenville	R	6	12	9	42	~	v	~	~		~			~	~	v	~	3	v	v	9	v	v
Mulvaney	92.1	A+	45	Lancaster	R	6	12	9	44		 ✓ 	v	~		~			~	~	~	~	4	~	~	9	 	v
Duncan	90.4	A+	15	Laurens	R	6	11	9	41 33	~		v	v		v	v			v	v	v	4	v	v	6	v	v
Ballentine Haley	88.5 87.8	A	71 87	Lexington Lexington	R	6	9 11	8 8	33	~		~	~		~	 		~	~	~	~	4		~	9		~
Leach	83.7	B+	21	Greenville	R	6	12	10	10	~	~		~		~					~	~	2	~	~	9		~
Pitts, Ted	83.4	B+	69	Lexington	R	6	11	10	10	-	~		v		~	v		~	v	~	~	5	v	~	6	v	v
Hagood	81.0	В	112	Charleston	R	5	11	10	49	~		~	~		~			~	~	~	~	4	~	~	9	·	
Crawford	80.8	В	63	Florence	R	6	12	8	10	~	v		~		~			~	~	~	v	4	v	~	7		v
Stewart	79.7	В	86	Aiken	R	3	7	10	10		v		~		~	1	~		~	 	~	3	 ✓ 	~	8	v	~
Smith, Donald	77.3	В	83	Aiken	R	5	11	10	26		v				~		~	~	v	v	~	2	v	~	10	v	~
Harrison	76.9	В	75	Richland	R	5	10	6	11	~		~	~		v				v	~	~	1	~	~	6		~
Mahaffey	74.3	В	36	Spartanburg	R	6	12	9	13	v	•		v		 					v		2	~	~	3		v
Viers Delleney	72.9 71.4	B	68 43	Horry Chester	R	6	11 11	4 10	42 23	V V		~	~	X				~	~	~	~	4	~	V	9 8	~	~
Shoopman	71.4	B	43	Greenville	R	6	11 12	10	23	~			~	~						~	<i>v</i>	4			8	~	~
Lucas	70.0	В	65	Darlington	R	5	12	10	26	~	~		~					~	~	~	~	2	~	~	9		~
Hutson ***	69.0	C+	94	Dorchester	R	-												1	~	V	V	2	· ·	~	5		V V
Young	68.9	C+	98	Dorchester	R	6	12	7	16	~	v		~	X				~	v	~	~	3	~	~	6	 ✓ 	~
Simrill	68.7	C+	46	York	R	6	12	10	10	~	~		~					~	~	~	~	4	~	~	7	 ✓ 	~
Hamilton	65.3	С	20	Greenville	R	4	7	8	14		v		~		~				~	~	v	2	 ✓ 	v	4	 ✓ 	
Davenport	65.2	С	37	Spartanburg	R	6	5	7	17			~	>	X				~	~	~	>	2	~	~	6	~	~
Huggins	63.7	С	85	Lexington	R	6	11	10	10	~	 ✓ 		 		 	 ✓ 		v	 	 	~	5	 ✓ 		0	 ✓ 	 ✓
Limehouse	63.3	c	110	Charleston	R	6	9	10	15	~	~	_	~		~	~	~	 	~	 	~	2			1		~
Cotty Thompson	62.2 61.2	C C	79 9	Richland Anderson	R	6 5	8 12	9 10	44 39			~			 			~	· ·		<i>v</i>	3		v	8	· ·	~
Smith, Garry	60.3	C C	27	Greenville	R	6	12	9	39 7	~	~		~		~			~		~	~	4	~	v	0		~
Bingham	60.1	c	89	Lexington	R	5	9	8	10	~		~	~		~					~	~	3	~		0		~
Haskins	59.6	C	22	Greenville	R	1	9	4	2	-		-	v	X	-		~	, ·	~	~	~	4	v	~	8	v	v
Bowen	59.4	С	8	Anderson	R	6	12	10	27	~			~		~				~	~	~	3	~		1		~
Bannister	58.1	С	24	Greenville	R	6	11	10	7	~	~		~		~			~	 ✓ 	~	~	3	v		0		v
Talley	57.7	С	34	Spartanburg	R	4	10	10	9	~				X		v		~	~	~	~	3	~	v	9	~	~
Owens	57.3	С	5	Pickens	R	6	9	10		v	v		~		~				~	v	v	4	v		3	v	
Spires	57.1	C	96	Lexington	R	6	11	10		~	v		~		~				~	 	~	4	~		0	 ✓ 	~
Rice	55.8	C	26	Pickens	R	6	12	9			 		~		~				~	~	v	4	v		3	`	~
Lowe Merrill	55.7 51.5	C C-	60 99	Florence Berkeley	R	5	9 12	6 7	6 21	~ ~	~	~	~		~			~	~	~	~	4			5		~
Brady	51.5	C-	78	Richland	R	3	8	10	3	V		~								~	~	3	~	~	1		~
Loftis	51.1	C-	19	Greenville	R	2	7	6	24	~	~		~		v			١Ť	L			2	~		0		~
Chalk	50.5	C-	123	Beaufort	R	2	5	6					· ·		~			~	~	~	~	4	~		5		~
Whitmire	49.3	D+	1	Oconee	R	5	12	8	11						v				v		~	2	v	v	3		
Toole	49.0	D+	88	Lexington	R	6	11	10	8	~		~			v				v	~	~	3	~		1	`	~
Taylor	47.8	D	16	Laurens	R	6	12	10	3	v	v				v				v	v	~	3	v		0	v	~
Gullick	47.6	D	48	York	R	3	10	10	9		•							~			~	1	~	~	5		~
Chellis **	45.8	D	94	Dorchester	R	6	12	10	40	~	v		~	X													
Frye Smith Boland	45.7	D	39	Lexington	R	6	8	6	19		v			v	~				v	V 1		1	<i>v</i>		2	~	~
Smith, Roland Clemmons	45.1 44.1	D	84 107	Aiken Horry	R	6	11 12	10 10	6 8		 ✓ 	~	<i>v</i> <i>v</i>	X			v		✓ ✓	v	v	4			0		~ ~
Erickson ***	44.1	D	107	Beaufort	R			10	5									۲.	~	~	~	4	~		1		~
Kirsh	43.7	D	47	York	D	6	11	10	47	~	~							~	V	~	~	4	~		3		~
Harrell	43.4	D	114	Charleston	R	6	12	8	6	~	~		~		v				v	~	~	2	v		0		
Herbkersman	42.7	D	118	Beaufort	R	4	7	6	12			~	~		· ·			~	~	~	~	2	~		0		
Pinson	42.6	D	13	Greenwood	R	5	8	8	15	~					v				v		~	2	v		1		v
Scarborough	41.0	D	115	Charleston	R	4	10	10	1	~	•		~					~	v	~	~	2	~		1		~
Smith, Murrell	39.9	D	67	Sumter	R	4	8	9	3			>	>					>	*	v		1			6	v	~

THE CLUB FOR	TH ?	2007-2008	House		2007 Budget		t		007 DC structu		2007 School Choice			2007 Treasurer		20	08 Res	tructu	ring		2008 Retireme nt						
SOUTH CARD.	[[]]				Vote #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Name	Score	Grade	District	Location	Party	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	LARD LIST	Gas Tax	Ott Am.	Edge Am.	EOS	Beatty	Willia ms	Adjour n	Ryber g	Agr	Joint Gov/Lt Gov	Edu	Final	Amend ments	Earmark Discl.	Comp. Grants	Budget Vetoes	Adjourn	Leg. Pay Raise
						Out of 6	Out of 12	Out of 10	Out of 50													Out of 5			Out of 10		
Smith, Doug	39.8	D	32	Spartanburg	R	5	7	4	1	~	~		~	X								1	~	~	4		
Walker	39.1	D	38	Spartanburg	R	4	7	8		~				X				~	~	v	v	1	v	~	6	v	
Hardwick	39.0	D	106	Horry	R	5	12	10	1	~		>	~						~	~	~	1			0		~
Dantzler Steuringkig	38.7	D	117	Berkeley Charleston	R	6	11	10	0	 	~			v	~							4	v		0		~
Stavrinakis Hiott	38.7 38.6	D	119 4	Pickens	D R	2	10 11	10 9	9	~		~		X	~			~		~	~	2	✓ ✓	~	1	~	~
Cato	37.9	D-	17	Greenville	R	6	12	9		~			~	X	•				 ✓ 	~	~	3	~		2		
Barfield	37.9	D-	58	Horry	R	6	12	9	3	v		~	v						-	~	v	2	V		0		~
Ceips ****	37.5	D-	124	Beaufort	R	3	9	9		~		~	~	X											0		
Hinson	35.7	D-	92	Berkeley	R	6	12	10		~	>		>		>												
Knight	34.5	F	97	Dorchester	D	0	6	3	1	~		>		X						~	~	1		~	5	~	
Umphlett	34.2	F	100	Berkeley	R	6	11	10	17	v	v		~	V					V	v	v	3	v		1	~	
Kelly Agnew	32.7 31.4	F D-	35 11	Spartanburg Abbeville	R	6	11 2	10 3	7	~	~			X					~	~	~	1	✓ ✓		0		~
Williams, Robert	29.8	F	62	Darlington	D	0	2	3 0	1				~	X					~		~	3		v	0	~	~
Witherspoon	27.7	F	105	Horry	R	5	11	9	4	~		~	~						~	~	~	2	~		0		
Pitts, Michael	25.3	F	14	Laurens	R	0	0	0	12						~				~	~	· ·	5	~		0		
Perry	25.2	F	81	Aiken	R	6	9	8	3		~			X			~		~			3	v		0		 ✓
Edge	24.6	F	104	Horry	R	6	10	10	14	~		>	~				1			ĺ		4			0		
Neilson	23.8	F	56	Darlington	D	1	2	0		~		>		X				~	~			1	 ✓ 		1	v	~
Skelton	23.7	F	3	Pickens	R	6	10	10						V	~						v	1	~		0		
Funderburk	23.6 22.8	F	52	Kershaw	D	0	1	0	1					X				~	<i>•</i>	~	v	1	v		1	v	v
Hart Miller	22.0	F	73 108	Richland Georgetown	D	0	2	0	4	~				X				~	~	~	~	2	✓ ✓		1	~	~
Moss	20.9	F	29	Cherokee	D	0	7	2		~				X					V		~	2	~		0		~
Sandifer	19.8	F	2	Oconee	R	6	6	8		v				X					-			1	v		2		v
Parks	19.6	F	12	Greenwood	D	0	3	0						X					~		~	1	~		0	 ✓ 	~
Weeks	19.1	F	51	Sumter	D	0	2	0				>		X							v		v		0	v	~
Anderson	19.1	F	103	Georgetown	D	0	2	0				>		X					~			1			0	~	<
Brantley	19.0	F	122	Jasper	D	0	1	0		~		~		X					~			2	v		0		 ✓
Littlejohn McLeod	18.9 17.8	F	33 40	Spartanburg	R	6	9 2	8	1		~			X				~	~	~	 ✓ 	2	✓ ✓		1		~
White	17.8	F	40	Newberry Anderson	R	6	12	10	1	~	~			X X					•	~	~	2	~		0		
Cooper	15.7	F	10	Anderson	R	6	12	10	2	~	~			X						~	~	1	~		0		
Anthony	15.7	F	42	Union	D	0	4	1		~				X								1	~		0		~
Coleman	15.2	F	41	Fairfield	D	0	4	0						X					v			1			0		~
Jefferson	15.0	F	102	Berkeley	D	0	2	0		v				X								1	v		0		~
Bowers	15.0	F	120	Hampton	D	0	2	0						X							v	1	v		0		~
Brown, Grady	14.5	F-	50	Lee		0	1	0		~				X									<i>v</i>		0		<i>v</i>
Phillips Bales	13.0 12.7	F- F-	30 80	Cherokee Richland	D	0	2	1		~		~		X				~	· ·	~	-	4	✓ ✓		0		~
Bales Battle	12.7	F-	57	Marion	D		8	7		~		~						~	~	~	~	1			0		
Gambrell	11.2	F-	7	Anderson	R	6	11	10		~	~			X								2	~		0		
Jennings	10.3	F-	54	Marlboro	D	0	4	1				~		X					v		v	2	~		0	~	
Ott	9.8	F-	93	Calhoun	D	0	4	0		~		>		X					v			1	~		0	 ✓ 	
Hayes	9.4	F-	55	Dillon	D	0	2	0		v		~		X					v			1	v		0	v	
Clyburn	9.4	F-	82	Alken	D	0	2	0						X			v		v		v	1	v		0	~	
Cobb-Hunter	8.1	F-	66	Orangeburg	D	0	4	0						X					v		~	2	v		0	v	
Neal, JM Hodges	7.8 7.4	F-	44	Lancaster Colleton	D	0	4	0		~	~	~		X X					~			1	~		0		
Allen	7.4	F-	25	Greenville	D	0	2 1	0						X					~		~	2	~		0		
Kennedy	7.0	F-	101	Williamsburg	D	0	0	0				~		X					~		~	3	~		0		
Vick	6.5	F-	53	Chesterfield	D	0	2	0		~		~		X					V						0		
Scott	5.8	F-	77	Richland	D	0	2	0	2					X							v	1			1	v	
Govan	5.2	F-	95	Orangeburg	D	0	3	0	1	~				Х					 ✓ 				~		0		

THE CLUB FOR 2007-2008 House Scorecard								2007 Budget							007 hool 2007 Judicial oice		easurer	20	08 Res	tructu	ring		2008 Retireme nt				
Vote #						1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Ð	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Name	Score	Grade	District	Location	Party	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	LARD LIST	Gas Tax	Ott Am.	Edge Am.	EOS	Beatty	Willia ms	Adjour n	Ryber g	Agr	Joint Gov/Lt Gov	Edu	Final	Amend ments	Earmark Discl.	Comp. Grants	Budget Vetoes	Adjourn	Leg. Pay Raise
						Out of 6	Out of 12	Out of 10	Out of 50													Out of 5			Out of 10		
Mitchell	5.0	F-	31	Spartanburg	D	0	3	0						X					~		~		v		0		
Brown, Robert	4.2	F-	116	Charleston	D	0	0	0		>				X					~			1			0		
Sellers	3.2	F-	90	Bamberg	D	0	2	1		>				X								2			0		
Hosey	2.7	F-	91	Barnwell	D	0	1	0						X					~			1	~		0		
Whipper	2.7	F-	113	Charleston	D	0	1	0						X					~			1	×		0		
Alexander, Terry	2.5	F-	59	Florence	D	0	0	0						X					~			1	~		0		
Branham	2.5	F-	61	Florence	D	0	0	0						X					~			1	v		0		
Rutherford	2.5	F-	74	Richland	D	0	0	1						X					~				~		0		
Howard	2.5	F-	76	Richland	D	0	2	0						X							~				0		
Neal, JH	1.4	F-	70	Richland	D	0	4	0						X								1	~		0		
Smith, Fletcher	1.0	F-	23	Greenville	D	0	0	0						X								2	v		1		
Harvin	0.7	F-	64	Clarendon	D	0	2	0						X									v		0		
Mack	0.7	F-	109	Charleston	D	0	0	0						X								2	~		0		
Breeland	0.2	F-	111	Charleston	D	0	0	0						X									v		0		
Moody-Lawrence *	Inc.	Inc.	49	York	D	0	0	0						X											0		
Smith, James *	Inc.	Inc.	72	Richland	D	0	0	0														1	~		0	~	
Daning *	Inc.	Inc.	92	Berkeley	R																		v		1		

Pro growth vote
 anti growth vote

* incomplete (Inc.) ** based on 2007 record *** based on 2008 record **** based on combined 2007 House and 2008 Senate record

Scoring Guide

Vote 1 – March 13, 2007, H. 3620, SC CFG scored six votes on pork budget items/pet projects offered by House Democrats. "Yes" votes on the motions to defeat the amendments were the pro-growth votes. Those pork items are:

Amendment 60 – \$80,000,000 increase to Child Development Early Education Program Amendment 72 – \$2,500,000 to SCSU I-95 Corridor Initiative Amendment 195 – \$1,000,000 to Northeastern Technical College Operating Fund Amendment 148 – \$6,000,000 to Allied Health Initiative Amendment 149 – \$27,000,000 to Health and Human Services Amendment 102 – \$4,000,000 to AIDS Drug Assistance Program

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj07/20070313.htm

Vote 2 – March 14, 2007, H. 3620, SC CFG scored twelve votes on budget amendments. The first five were pork/pet spending projects, the next four would have stripped the \$81,000,000 income tax cut, the next two would have increased legislators pay and the last would have moved money from the Dept. of Education bureaucracy and into classrooms. On the first eleven votes, "YES" votes on the motions to defeat the amendments were the pro-growth votes. On the last vote, a "YES" vote for passing the education reform amendment was the pro-growth vote. Those items are:

Amendment 12 – \$1,000,000 to Allendale County Economic Development Amendment 13 – \$1,500,000 to Barnwell County Economic Development Amendment 59 – \$2,500,000 to the SC Conservation Bank Amendment 196 – \$20,400,000 to the SC Conservation Bank Amendment 50 – \$100,000 to the Allendale County Transportation-Scooter Amendment 137 – \$81,000,000 in to Councils of Government Amendment 8 – \$81,000,000 to county transportation funds Amendment 152 – \$81,000,000 to county transportation funds Amendment 52 – \$239,000 in pay increases for SC Senators Amendment 54 – \$645,000 in pay increases for SC Representatives Amendment 265 – \$81,000,000 to Special Economic Development Grants Fund Amendment 210 – Amendment to move new hire money away from DOE bureaucracy

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj07/20070314.htm

Vote 3 – March 15, 2007, H. 3620, SC CFG scored ten votes on budget amendments. Two first two were pork spending projects and the last eight were Democrats' attempts strip out the income tax and spend the money on pork projects instead. In each case, "Yes" votes on the motions to defeat the amendments were the pro-growth votes. Those items are:

Amendment 76 – \$2,500,000 to SCSU I-95 Corridor Initiative Amendment 3 – \$3,000,000 to Barnwell Dist. 29 -Williston-Elko Expansion/Renovation Amendment 224 – Attempt to remove income tax cut Amendment 123 – Attempt to remove income tax cut Amendment 178 – Attempt to remove income tax cut Amendment 239 – Attempt to remove income tax cut Amendment 274 – Attempt to remove income tax cut Amendment 280 – Attempt to remove income tax cut Amendment 312 – Attempt to remove income tax cut Amendment 312 – Attempt to remove income tax cut

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj07/20070315.htm

Vote 4 – June 28-29, 2007, H. 3620, "Lard List - Top 50 Budget Vetoes" – Fifty budget veto overrides of items in the 2007 SC Club for Growth House "Lard List." Voting "No" to uphold these overrides was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.SCClubforGrowth.org/pdf/2007LardList.pdf (view description of projects) http://www.SCClubforGrowth.org/pdf/House2007Lard.pdf (view individual votes) http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070628.htm http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070629.htm

Click here to view Governor Sanford's 2007 veto message: <u>http://www.scgovernor.com/news/releases/june_07/june_27_07-02.htm</u>

Vote 5 – March 27, 2007, H. 3575, Amendment 7, "Gas Tax Increase" – A "Yes" vote on the motion to defeat the amendment was the pro-growth vote. The amendment would have raised the state gasoline tax by 5 cents.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj07/20070327.htm

Vote 6 – March 27, 2007, H. 3575, Amendment 15, "Ott Amendment - DOT Reform" – A "Yes" vote on the motion to defeat the amendment was the pro-growth vote. The amendment would have stripped executive branch authority from the DOT Commission. http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070327.htm

Vote 7 – March 27, 2007, H. 3575, Amendment 23, "Edge Amendment - DOT Reform" – A "No" vote on the motion to defeat the amendment was the pro-growth vote. The amendment would have moved the DOT entirely under Executive Branch authority rather than leave a quasi-legislative agency in charge. http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070327.htm

Vote 8 – March 29, 2007, H. 3124, Amendment 8, "Educational Opportunity Scholarships" – A "No" vote on the motion to defeat the amendment was the pro-growth vote. The amendment would have allowed a student in a failing school (under certain conditions) to receive state funds that "follow" them to a better public or independent school. <u>http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070329.htm</u>

Vote 9 – May 23, 2007, "SC Supreme Court Seat 5 Election" – Representatives chose between candidates Kaye Hearn, Don Beatty and Bruce Williams. A vote for Williams was the pro-growth vote. <u>http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070523.htm</u>

Vote 10 – August 3, 2007, "Motion to Adjourn" – A "Yes" vote to adjourn was the pro-growth vote. Antireformers in the House and Senate were pushing to elect Converse Chellis, while fiscal conservatives attempted to block the action by adjourning. http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070803.htm

Vote 11 – August 3, 2007, "State Treasurer Election" – A "Yes" vote for Senator Greg Ryberg was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj07/20070803.htm

Vote 12 – March 5, 2008, H. 4620, Amendment 6, "Appoint Commissioner of Agriculture" – A "Yes" vote to prevent anti-reformers from not allowing the governor to appoint the state's Commissioner of Agriculture was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj08/20080305.htm

Vote 13 – March 5, 2008, H. 4620, Amendment 9, "Gov and Lt. Gov on same ticket" – A "Yes" vote to allow the governor and lieutenant governor to run on the same ticket was the pro-growth vote. <u>http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj08/20080305.htm</u> **Vote 14** – March 5, 2008, H. 4620, Amendment 13, "Appoint Secretary of Education" – A "Yes" vote to prevent anti-reformers from not allowing the governor to appoint the state's Secretary of Education was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj08/20080305.htm

Vote 15 – March 5, 2008, H. 4620, 2nd Reading, "Restructuring – Appointment of Constitutional Officers" – A "Yes" vote to allow the governor to appoint some constitutional officers was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj08/20080305.htm

Vote 16 – March 11-12, 2008, H. 4800, "Budget Amendments" – SC CFG scored five votes on budget items. Amendments were chosen for scoring due to their impact on the state budget and for their good government principles. Those items are (with pro-growth following):

Amendment 23 – Palmetto Early Graduation Reward Program ("No" to defeat) Amendment 99 – \$200,000 for SC Community Enterprise Center ("Yes" to defeat) Amendment 116 – No state funds for school board association dues ("Yes" to pass) Amendment 167 – Salary supplements for only 10 years ("Yes" to pass) Amendment 198 – Funding for Center for Ethical Leadership ("Yes" to defeat)

http://www.SCClubforGrowth.org/pdf/House2008Amendments.pdf (view individual votes) http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj08/20080311.htm http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj08/20080312.htm

Vote 17 – April 30, 2008, H. 4346, 2nd Reading, "Earmark Disclosure Reform" – This bill requires disclosure of information regarding earmark projects or programs requested by individual members of the legislature for inclusion in an appropriations bill. A "Yes" vote was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj08/20080430.htm

Vote 18 – June 4, 2008, H. 4800, Override of Amendment 51 "Competitive Grants Carry Forward" – A "No" vote to uphold Governor Sanford's veto of the so-called "Competitive Grants Program" was the pro-growth vote. This "legislative slush fund," with \$18.5 million in cash, was continued despite projections that state revenues were lower than anticipated and agencies would have to prioritize spending.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj08/20080603.htm

Vote 19 – June 4, 2008, H. 4800, "Top 10 Budget Vetoes" – Voting "No" to uphold the governor's veto of each of these ten egregious spending items was the pro-growth vote. Those items are:

- Veto 15 H.L Hunley Security (should be left to private groups)
- Veto 34 State Park Privatization Approval (anti-government efficiency)
- Veto 38 Economic Development Organizations (anti-government efficiency)
- Veto 44 Compensation/Agency Head Salary (anti-good government)
- Veto 46 Competitive Grants Program (legislative slush fund)
- Veto 47 Competitive Grants Program (legislative slush fund)
- Veto 48 Competitive Grants Program (legislative slush fund)
- Veto 49 Competitive Grants Program (legislative slush fund)
- Veto 50 Competitive Grants Program (legislative slush fund)
- Veto 55 Lt. Governor Security Detail (unnecessary)

http://www.SCClubforGrowth.org/pdf/House2008Budget.pdf (view individual votes) http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj08/20080604.htm

Click here to view Governor Sanford's 2008 veto message: http://www.scgovernor.com/news/releases/news_release_may08_05-30-08.htm

Vote 20 – May 29, 2008, "Adjourn for the Day" – After some House members succeeded in upholding the governor's vetoes on multiple spending items, anti-reformers quickly moved to adjourn, with the intent of using the weekend to change members' votes. Voting "No" to adjourn was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/hj08/20080529.htm

Vote 21 – April 8, 2008, H. 4673, "Backdoor Legislative Pay Increase - Motion to table motion to reconsider 2nd reading" – SC CFG scored a vote dealing with a measure hidden inside a larger bill, which would have increased the state's unfunded liabilities and increased legislators' pensions by 2% each year one day after the state's Board of Economic Advisors cut state revenue estimates by \$180 million over the next two years. The legislative pension system is already three times more generous than the regular state employee system - and the regular system is reportedly more generous than 90% of similar public plans around the country according to a study done by the state Chamber of Commerce. Voting "No" to defeat the bill was the pro-growth vote.

http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117 2007-2008/hj08/20080408.htm

Click here to view SC Club for Growth's press release on the legislative pay increase: <u>http://www.scclubforgrowth.com/news/details.asp?keyID=31</u>